

## Ionic diffusion in the double layer at model electrode/molten-salt interfaces

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

1991 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 3 9817

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/0953-8984/3/48/023>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

### Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.159

The article was downloaded on 12/05/2010 at 10:56

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# Ionic diffusion in the double layer at model electrode/molten-salt interfaces

K Tankeshwar† and M P Tosi†‡

† International Centre for Theoretical Physics, I-34014 Trieste, Italy

‡ Department of Theoretical Physics of the University of Trieste, I-34014 Trieste, Italy

Received 12 August 1991

**Abstract.** The anisotropic ionic diffusion coefficients in model electrochemical cells in the molten-salt regime for the electrolyte are evaluated from the ionic density profiles reported in the simulation work of Grout and co-workers. A local description of the diffusion processes for counter-ions and co-ions in the electrical double layer is obtained from the data.

Electrified metal–electrolyte interfaces have been of fundamental and applied interest for many years (see for example Trasatti 1989). Given the complexity of real electrochemical systems, computer simulation of greatly simplified models has had the useful role of providing controlled data to test advances in statistical mechanical theories (Carnie and Torrie 1984).

Furthermore, Esnouf, Smith and Grout (1988) have extended the computer simulation studies of model electrode/electrolyte interfaces to the molten-salt regime of the electrolyte. Using molecular dynamics they studied a slab of ionic liquid, enclosed between two oppositely charged hard walls and with pairwise interactions chosen to model molten KCl, at various values of the wall separation,  $l$ , the wall charge per unit area,  $qe/l^2$  and the temperature,  $T$ . The single-particle density profiles,  $\rho_\alpha(z)$  ( $\alpha = \text{K}, \text{Cl}$ ) show layering of the charge density in the molten salt along the  $z$  direction perpendicular to the walls. Ionic diffusion in the slab is anisotropic and values for the self-diffusion coefficients,  $D_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)}$  and  $D_{\perp}^{(\alpha)}$ , are obtained from the mean square displacements of the ions along directions parallel and perpendicular to the walls, respectively. The same methods have recently been applied by the Oxford group to study this type of finite electrochemical system with a jellium model for the electrodes *in lieu* of a hard-wall model (Williams 1990). The main consequences of allowing, at this level, for the spill-out of metallic electrons at the surface of the electrodes are found to be the increased layering in the ionic density profiles due to the spill-out aiding adsorption, as well as the relative suppression of perpendicular diffusion.

The simulation results on self-diffusion coefficients have no immediate connection with the diffusion of ions in the electrical double layer, since ionic species in the simulation samples is simultaneously acting as a counter-ion at one electrode and a co-ion at the other. We aim in this letter to: (i) show theoretically how the anisotropic self-diffusion coefficients in the simulation samples are related to the ionic density profiles for given pairwise ionic interactions, and (ii) extract from the data information on how

counter-ions and co-ions diffuse separately in the double layer formed at a single electrode.

We use for the above purposes a theoretical approach to diffusion which has been developed recently for monatomic classical liquids (Tankeshwar, Singla and Pathak 1991) and extended to ionic melts (Tankeshwar and Tosi 1991). The approach stems from the works of Zwanzig (1983) and Mohanty (1985), who developed a simple model for the dynamics in cold dense fluids to evaluate their transport coefficients directly from the Green-Kubo time-correlation formulae. We refer the reader to the earlier works, and in particular to the article by Zwanzig (1983), for an adequate presentation of the ideas underlying the model. We simply recall here that diffusion is envisaged as occurring through jumping processes, so that in a bulk molten salt it is described at the simplest level of approximation in terms of Einstein vibration frequencies,  $\omega_\alpha$ , and jumping frequencies,  $1/\tau_\alpha$ , for the two ionic species. The self-diffusion coefficients are defined through the appropriate velocity autocorrelation functions and are given by

$$D^{(\alpha)} \equiv \frac{k_B T}{m_\alpha} \int_0^\infty dt \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle v_{i\alpha}(t) \cdot v_{i\alpha}(0) \rangle / \langle v_{i\alpha}^2 \rangle = \frac{\pi k_B T \tau_\alpha}{2m_\alpha} \operatorname{sech}((\pi/2)\omega_\alpha \tau_\alpha) \quad (1)$$

where  $m_\alpha$  are the ionic masses. The vibration and jumping frequencies can be related to liquid structure and pair interactions through the sum rules governing the short-time behaviour of the velocity autocorrelation functions. Writing the latter as

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \langle v_{i\alpha}(t) \cdot v_{i\alpha}(0) \rangle / \langle v_{i\alpha}^2 \rangle = 1 - \frac{1}{2} A_\alpha t^2 + \frac{1}{24} B_\alpha t^4 + \dots \quad (2)$$

one has  $\omega_\alpha^2 = (5A_\alpha^2 - B_\alpha)/(4A_\alpha)$  and  $\tau_\alpha^2 = (B_\alpha - A_\alpha^2)/(4A_\alpha)$ . For bulk molten KCl, using the pair interactions and bulk pair distribution functions of the Oxford group at  $T = 1309$  K, we find (in units of  $10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ )  $D^{(K)} = 12.1$  and  $D^{(Cl)} = 13.4$ , against the measured values  $D^{(K)} = 14.8$  and  $D^{(Cl)} = 13.4$  at 1273 K (Bockris, Nanis and Richards 1965).

Let us consider first the self-diffusion coefficients in the finite slab of melt studied in the simulation work. The formulae that we have summarily reported just above, (1) and (2), still apply, except that we have to introduce distinct vibration and jump frequencies for diffusion parallel or perpendicular to the walls. Each diffusion coefficient is strongly inversely dependent on the appropriate mean square restoring frequency,  $A$ , and we focus on the calculation of  $A_{K\perp}$  for perpendicular motion of the  $K^+$  ion from the appropriate sum rule. We write

$$A_{K\perp} = \left[ \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} dz_1 \rho_K(z_1) A_{K\perp}(z_1) \right] / \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} dz_1 \rho_K(z_1) \quad (3)$$

and the local square restoring frequency,  $A_{K\perp}(z_1)$ , for perpendicular motion of a  $K^+$  ion at  $z_1$  is given by

$$A_{K\perp}(z_1) = \frac{1}{m_K} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{12} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_{12} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} dz_2 \times \left[ \frac{\rho_{KCl}(z_1, r_{12})}{\rho_K(z_1)} \frac{\partial^2 U_{KCl}(r_{12})}{\partial z_{12}^2} + \frac{\rho_{KK}(z_1, r_{12})}{\rho_K(z_1)} \frac{\partial^2 U_{KK}(r_{12})}{\partial z_{12}^2} \right] \quad (4)$$

where  $\rho_{\alpha\beta}(z_1, r_{12})$  and  $U_{\alpha\beta}(r_{12})$  are the two-particle distribution functions and the pair

**Table 1.** Anisotropic self-diffusion coefficients (in units of  $10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ) for  $\text{K}^+$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$  ions in model electrochemical cells in the molten-salt regime for the electrolyte. Values in parentheses are from the molecular dynamics results of Esnouf *et al* (1988) and Williams (1990).

$q/T(\text{K})$	$D_{\parallel}^{(\text{K})}$	$D_{\perp}^{(\text{K})}$	$D_{\parallel}^{(\text{K})}/D_{\perp}^{(\text{K})}$	$D_{\parallel}^{(\text{Cl})}$	$D_{\perp}^{(\text{Cl})}$	$D_{\parallel}^{(\text{Cl})}/D_{\perp}^{(\text{Cl})}$
Hard wall:						
0/1309	9.0	8.9	1.01	10.6	10.5	1.01
1/1326	9.1	8.7	1.04	10.8	10.5	1.03
2/1320	8.9	8.4	1.06	11.3	10.5	1.07
2/1320				(9.3) <sup>a</sup>	(8.6) <sup>a</sup>	(1.08) <sup>a</sup>
9/1406	11.6	7.4	1.58	14.7	10.3	1.43
9/1406				(12.9) <sup>a</sup>	(8.9) <sup>a</sup>	(1.45) <sup>a</sup>
Jellium wall:						
1/1299	8.8	8.3	1.06	10.9	10.6	1.03
1/1327	(10.2)	(9.7)	(1.05)			
2/1358	9.5	8.5	1.12	11.5	10.5	1.10
9/1381	12.4	6.6	1.88	16.0	7.1	2.26
9/1381	(11.2)	(5.2)	(2.15)	(13.3)	(6.3)	(2.11)

<sup>a</sup> Averages for  $\text{K}^+$  and  $\text{Cl}^-$ .

potentials. The two contributions in the bracket in (4) describe the back scattering from unlike and like neighbours, respectively. The local restoring force,  $A_{\text{K}\parallel}(z_1)$ , for parallel motion is given by a similar expression involving the second derivative of the pair potentials with respect to  $x_{12}$ , and the expressions for the  $\text{Cl}^-$  ion follow from (3) and (4) by interchanging the suffices K and Cl.

In the evaluation of integrals such as shown in (4) we relate the two-body functions in the inhomogeneous system to the single-particle density profiles by the approximation

$$\rho_{\alpha\beta}(z_1, r_{12}) = \rho_{\alpha}(z_1)\rho_{\beta}(z_2)g_{\alpha\beta}(r_{12}) \quad (5)$$

$g_{\alpha\beta}(r)$  being the bulk distribution functions (see for example Croxton 1980). We then find that the back scattering of the diffusing ion by neighbouring unlike ions is dominant and becomes relatively less important for parallel diffusion than for perpendicular diffusion with increasing charge density on the electrode, leading to  $D_{\perp}^{(\alpha)} < D_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)}$ . Our numerical results for the diffusion coefficients, as calculated from the density profiles reported by the Oxford group and including the two-body contributions to the fourth-moment sum rules,  $B_{\alpha\parallel}$  and  $B_{\alpha\perp}$  (see Tankeshwar and Tosi 1991), are shown in table 1 for both the hard-wall and the jellium models of the electrodes at various values of the electrode charge density  $q$ . Comparison with the simulation data of Esnouf *et al* (1988) and Williams (1990) on diffusion coefficients is made in table 1 whenever possible.

Clearly, there is good agreement between our results and the simulation data, and in particular the trends indicated by the data ( $D_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)}/D_{\perp}^{(\alpha)}$  increasing with  $q$  and, for given  $q$ , also increasing on replacing the hard-wall electrodes with jellium electrodes) are accounted for by the theory. The reasons for these behaviours are to be found in the layering of the charge density in the molten salt slab parallel to the walls, as shown by the density profiles. For any given ion in a layer, the most effective back scattering comes from unlike neighbours lying in the same layer (for parallel diffusion) and in the two neighbouring layers on either side of it (for perpendicular diffusion). This gives rise to

the indicated trends in the ratio  $D_{\parallel}^{(\alpha)}/D_{\perp}^{(\alpha)}$ , since layering increases with  $q$  and, for given  $q$ , also increases with the increased adsorption allowed by electron spill-out in the jellium model. Increasing layering leads to decreasing back scattering by unlike neighbours in each layer and to increasing back scattering by unlike neighbours in the neighbouring layers.

The foregoing results refer to averages over all the ions of a given species inside the slab. As we have already remarked, in the particular configuration of the simulation sample in which the density profiles are determined (i.e. a finite slab confined by two oppositely charged walls), the ions of a given species simultaneously play the role of counter-ions with regard to one wall and of co-ions with regard to the other. However, in several of the simulation runs it is evident that the density profiles associated with the two walls may be taken as approximately independent from each other. This is especially the case for a hard-wall sample at  $q = 2$  and  $T = 1298$  K, where the density and potential profiles show only statistical fluctuations over a very substantial region of  $z$  around the middle of the sample (Esnouf *et al* 1988). In such situations we may use the simulated density profiles to approximately construct separate profiles for counter-ions and co-ions at a single electrode.


Choosing the wall at  $z = -l/2$  as the positively charged one, the counter-ion density profile,  $n_{\text{Cl}}(z)$ , in the above-mentioned sample is constructed by taking it to be equal to  $\rho_{\text{Cl}}(z)$  in the range  $-l/2 < z < -l/4$  and equal to the bulk density  $n$  thereafter. At distance  $l/4$  from the wall one is already well outside the thickness of the double layer. Similarly, the co-ion density profile,  $n_{\text{K}}(z)$ , is taken to be equal to  $\rho_{\text{K}}(z)$  in the range  $-l/2 < z < -l/4$  and equal to the bulk density  $n$  thereafter. Using these profiles in (5), the local square restoring frequency for perpendicular motion of a  $\text{Cl}^-$  counter-ion at position  $z_1$  is then given by

$$A_{\text{Cl}\perp}(z_1) = \frac{1}{m_{\text{Cl}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_{12} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy_{12} \int_{-l/2}^{\infty} dz_2 \left[ n_{\text{K}}(z_2) g_{\text{KCl}}(r_{12}) \frac{\partial^2 U_{\text{KCl}}(r_{12})}{\partial z_{12}^2} + n_{\text{Cl}}(z_2) g_{\text{ClCl}}(r_{12}) \frac{\partial^2 U_{\text{ClCl}}(r_{12})}{\partial z_{12}^2} \right] \quad (6)$$

with similar expressions for the other local  $A$ s. The dependence on the distance,  $z_1 + l/2$ , from the electrode can be seen explicitly by replacing the integration variable  $z_2$  in favour of the variable  $z_{12}$ .

Obviously, the integral in (6) should reduce to its bulk value far from the wall. Owing to the fact that the integrands have effectively rather short range, for  $z_1 \approx -l/4$  we find that the anisotropy of the calculated restoring frequencies has disappeared and we recover their bulk values. Letting  $z_1$  approach the electrode, the influence of the double layer gradually emerges. We thus have the possibility of examining the (parallel or perpendicular) diffusion of (positive or negative) counter-ions or co-ions in the double layer as a function of  $z_1$ , namely in dependence of distance from the electrode.

Illustrative results of these calculations are reported in figure 1 in the form of 'local diffusion coefficients' for  $\text{Cl}^-$  counter-ions and  $\text{K}^+$  co-ions, in superposition with their density profiles constructed from the simulation data of Esnouf *et al* (1988) as described above. Evidently, while parallel diffusion in the double layer is affected by local structure only to a relatively minor extent, there is a strong correlation between the perpendicular diffusion processes and the local structure in the double layer. The correlation is similar



**Figure 1.** Local perpendicular and parallel diffusion coefficients,  $D$  (in  $10^{-5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ), for  $\text{Cl}^-$  counter-ions (middle panel) and  $\text{K}^+$  co-ions (top panel), evaluated from local vibrational and jumping frequencies as functions of the distance  $z$  from a positively charged hard-wall electrode at  $q = 2$  and  $T = 1298 \text{ K}$ . The bottom drawing shows the corresponding density profiles,  $n(z)$  (in  $\text{\AA}^{-3}$ ), as obtained from the simulation data of Esnouf *et al* (1988).

for counter-ions and co-ions, except for their relative dephasing, and is simply summarized by the observation that perpendicular diffusion of each ionic species is slowed down in the regions where its density piles up. This confirms our earlier interpretation of the numerical results in table 1.

In summary, we have been able to give a satisfactory quantitative interpretation of the available data on ionic diffusion in simulated electrochemical cells and propose a detailed picture for the diffusion processes occurring in the electrical double layer in the molten-salt regime for the electrolyte.

We acknowledge sponsorship of this work by the Ministero dell'Università della Ricerca Scientifica e Tecnologica of Italy through the Consorzio Interuniversitario Nazionale di Fisica della Materia. MPT is very grateful to Dr P J Grout for many useful discussions and for providing him with the simulation data. KT wishes to thank Professor Abdus Salam, the International Atomic Energy Agency and UNESCO for a Fellowship at the International Centre for Theoretical Physics in Trieste.

## References

- Bockris J O'M, Nanis S and Richards N E 1965 *J. Phys. Chem.* **67** 1627  
 Carnie S L and Torrie G M 1984 *Adv. Phys. Chem.* **56** 141  
 Croxton C A 1980 *Statistical Mechanics of the Liquid Surface* (Chichester: Wiley)  
 Esnouf R M, Smith A C D and Grout P J 1988 *Phil. Mag.* **A 58** 27

Mohanty U 1985 *Phys. Rev. A* **32** 3054

Tankeshwar K, Singla B and Pathak K N 1991 *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **3** 3173

Tankeshwar K and Tosi M P 1991 *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **3** 7511

Trasatti S (ed) 1989 Chemistry and physics of electrified interfaces *Electrochim. Acta* **34**

Williams D I T 1990 Computer simulations of the jellium-molten salt interface, part II *PhD Thesis* Oxford University

Zwanzig R 1983 *J. Chem. Phys.* **79** 4507